

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/542,854 04/04/2000 Edward G. Cazalet APXX0003 22862 7590 **EXAMINER** GLENN PATENT GROUP BORISSOV, IGOR N 3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MENLO PARK, CA 94025 3629

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/542,854	CAZALET ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	1 1 1
	Igor Borissov	3629	IUU
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered tim THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matte	• •	he merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,4,5,9-14,16 and 17 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4,5,9-14,16 and 17 is/are rejection is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction is/are subject to restriction is/are pend 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is/are pend 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is/are pend 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is/are pend 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is/are pend 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is/are pend 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is/are pend of the pend of	thdrawn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call to be seen as the call the		•	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in Aperical priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this Nationa	al Stage
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (P ⁻ 	TO-152)

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 112 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-5, 9-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuck et al. (U. S. 6,115,698) in view of Discussion Paper on Aligning Transmissions to Actual Flows (Publication).

Tuck et al. (hereinafter Tuck) teaches a system and method for trading electric energy considering available transmission capacity, comprising:

As per claims 1, contracting for a quantity of AC power to be transferred at a determined time interval, thereby creating a trading environment for electronic purchases and sales of electric energy, the scheduling and usage of the transmission system, wherein said quantity of AC power to be transferred comprises one of a plurality AC power to be transferred which are specific to a power transfer interface (C. 4, L. 47 – C. 5, L. 15).

Tuck does not specifically teach that said power transfer interface includes a flow gate being characterized by associated AC power transfers.

Publication, which appears to be published on November, 1998, teaches a system and method for managing congestions and operating on an actual flow basis, wherein contracting of electric power is conducted recognizing the physical flows of the electric power and an available flow gate, wherein a transfer capability of said flow gate is represented by a sum of all associated AC power transfers (pages 3, 4, 9, 12 and 15).

Art Unit: 3629

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tuck to include that the power transfer interface includes a flow gate and that contracting of electric power is conducted recognizing the physical flows of the electric power, available flow gate and transfer capability, as disclosed in Publication, because it would allow to amount those portions of electric power which do not flow through "contracted" paths, as specifically stated in Publication (page 4).

As per claim 4, said system and method, wherein each power transfer interface of the collection of the power transfer interfaces has an associated maximum safe carrying capacity (Tuck; C. 4, L. 60-63).

As per claims 5, said system and method, comprising: contracting each of said sum of said associated AC power transfer for each of said AC power transfers of said AC power transfer collection to take place at least over said first time interval (Tuck; C. 11, L. 50 – C. 12, L. 2).

As per claims 9, said system and method, comprising: contracting for said AC power transfer on said AC power network by a party to own AC power transfer trading rights; and enabling said party to further contract to sell said owned AC power transfer trading rights (Tuck; column 7, lines 1-9).

As per claims 10, said system and method, wherein each power transfer interface of the collection of the power transfer interfaces must have an associated maximum safe carrying capacity (Tuck; C. 4, L. 60-63), thereby obviously indicating approving said AC power transfer whenever said associated power transfer of said power transfer interface satisfies said maximum safe carrying capacity.

As per claim 11 said system and method, comprising: enabling said party to further contract to sell said owned AC power transfer trading rights before scheduling said AC power transfer for said contract (Tuck; C. 4, L. 19-25).

As per claim 12, said system and method, comprising: scheduling said AC power transfer for said contract, which occurs before said first time interval (before actual power transfer) (Tuck; C. 4, L. 29-32).

As per claim 13, the claim has similar limitations as claim 10, and rejected for the same reason.

Art Unit: 3629

As per claim 14, the claim has similar limitations as claim 1, and rejected for the same reason, in claim 1 above

As per claim 16, the claim has similar limitations as claim 1, and rejected for the same reason, in claim 1 above

As per claim 17, the claim has similar limitations as claim 1, and rejected for the same reason.

as in daim 1 above

Remarks

During a telephone interview on 1/5/2004 examiner indicated that additional search might be needed to address claims limitations. However, upon reconsideration, examiner maintains that the prior art discussed discloses the invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/28/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that neither Tuck nor Publication teach real time trading of electrical energy and transfer rights across flow gates, examiner points out that Tuck teaches real time trading of electrical energy across power transfer interfaces (C. 4, L. 47 – C. 5, L. 15). Publication was applied for managing congestions and operating on an actual flow basis in market conditions, wherein contracting of electric power is conducted recognizing the physical flows of the electric power, wherein a transfer capability of available flow gate is represented by a sum of all associated AC power transfers (See: pages 3, 4, 9, 12 and 15, and discussion above).

In response to Applicant's argument that the prior art does not teach buying and selling power transfer rights for any future time interval, examiner stipulates that Tuck does teach contracting for power transfer for "any future hours" (C. 4, L. 6-7).

Art Unit: 3629

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

[Official communications; including After Final

communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.